

LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS FOR PRODUCTS OF MATRICES AND MULTIFRACTAL ANALYSIS. PART I: POSITIVE MATRICES

BY

DE-JUN FENG*

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University
Beijing, 100084, China*

and

*Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
e-mail: dfeng@math.tsinghua.edu.cn*

ABSTRACT

Let (Σ, σ) be a full shift space on an alphabet consisting of m symbols and let $M: \Sigma \rightarrow L^+(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ be a continuous function taking values in the set of $d \times d$ positive matrices. Denote by $\lambda_M(x)$ the upper Lyapunov exponent of M at x . The set of possible Lyapunov exponents is just an interval. For any possible Lyapunov exponent α , we prove the following variational formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim\{x \in \Sigma: \lambda_M(x) = \alpha\} &= \frac{1}{\log m} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \{-\alpha q + P_M(q)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\log m} \max_{\mu} \{h(\mu): M_*(\mu) = \alpha\}, \end{aligned}$$

where \dim is the Hausdorff dimension or the packing dimension, $P_M(q)$ is the pressure function of M , μ is a σ -invariant Borel probability measure on Σ , $h(\mu)$ is the entropy of μ , and

$$M_*(\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int \log \|M(y)M(\sigma y) \dots M(\sigma^{n-1}y)\| d\mu(y).$$

* The author was partially supported by a HK RGC grant in Hong Kong and the Special Funds for Major State Basic Research Projects in China.

Received July 2, 2002

1. Introduction

Let σ be the shift map on $\Sigma = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ($m \geq 2$ an integer). Let M be a continuous function defined on Σ taking values in $L^+(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$, the set of $d \times d$ matrices with positive entries. We define the **upper Lyapunov exponent** $\lambda_M(x)$ of M by

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda_M(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|M(x)M(\sigma x) \cdots M(\sigma^{n-1}x)\|,$$

when the limit exists. Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the matrix norm defined by $\|A\| := \mathbf{1}^T A \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the d -dimensional column vector each coordinate of which is 1.

Let L_M be the set of point $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha = \lambda_M(x)$ for some $x \in \Sigma$. By using the specification property of Σ and the continuity of M , we show that L_M is a non-empty closed interval (see Proposition 2.2).

For any $q \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$P_M(q) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_n} \sup_{x \in [\omega]} \|M(x)M(\sigma x) \cdots M(\sigma^{n-1}x)\|^q,$$

where Σ_n denotes the set of all words of length n over $\{1, \dots, m\}$; for $\omega = \omega_1 \cdots \omega_n \in \Sigma_n$, $[\omega]$ denotes the cylinder set $\{x = (x_i) \in \Sigma: x_i = \omega_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. A subadditive argument shows that the limit in the above definition exists. We call $P_M(q)$ the **pressure function** of M .

Let $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\Sigma)$ be the set of all σ -invariant Borel probability measures on Σ . The map $M: \Sigma \rightarrow L^+(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ induces a map $M_*: \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$M_*(\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int \log \|M(y)M(\sigma y) \cdots M(\sigma^{n-1}y)\| d\mu(y), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\Sigma).$$

The limit exists by a subadditive argument. In 1960, Furstenberg and Kesten [21] considered the products of random matrices and proved that for each ergodic measure μ on Σ ,

$$\lambda_M(x) = M_*(\mu), \quad \mu \text{ a.s. } x \in \Sigma.$$

The above fact follows also by Kingman's Subadditive Ergodic Theorem (see [37]).

In this paper, we investigate the sizes of the sets with given Lyapunov exponents:

$$E_M(\alpha) = \{x \in \Sigma: \lambda_M(x) = \alpha\} \quad (\alpha \in L_M).$$

Recall that Σ is a metric space where a metric is defined by $d(x, y) = m^{-n}$ for $x = (x_j)_{j \geq 1}$ and $y = (y_j)_{j \geq 1}$ where n is the largest one such that $x_j = y_j$

($1 \leq j \leq n$). Different notions of dimensions are then defined on Σ . We shall talk about the Hausdorff dimension \dim_H , the packing dimension \dim_P and the upper box dimension $\overline{\dim}_B$ (see [11, 28] for a general account of dimensions). The sizes of the sets in question will be described by their dimensions.

In the special case $d = 1$, M is just a real-valued continuous function: we would rather write Φ instead of M in this case. The first historical example of this type is due to Besicovitch [4] and Eggleston [10], they proved that for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, the set

$$\left\{ x = (x_n) \in \{1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - 1) = \alpha \right\}$$

has Hausdorff dimension $-\alpha \log_2 \alpha + (1 - \alpha) \log_2 (1 - \alpha)$. In this case the corresponding function Φ is given by $\Phi(x) = 1$ if $x_1 = 1$, and $\Phi(x) = e$ if $x_1 = 2$. A slightly more elaborate example was given by Billingsley in [5]. Some further consideration of the multifractal formalism for Hölder continuous Φ was given in [12, 14, 33, 38]. The case that Φ is only assumed to be continuous, was considered by Fan, Feng and Wu [13], Feng, Lau and Wu [17] and Olivier [29].

In the case $d \geq 2$, M is a matrix-valued continuous function. As we know, there are few results about this topic. In [27], Ledrappier and Porzio considered a special kind of product of matrices of order two, and obtained a local result of the multifractal spectrum by using some classical random matrix products theory and perturbative theory; Porzio [35] strengthened that result somewhat by a study of the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius operator associated with random matrix products.

The main result of the present paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1: *Suppose $M: \Sigma \rightarrow L^+(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is a continuous function taking values in the set of $d \times d$ positive matrices. For any $\alpha \in L_M$, we have the following formula*

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim_H E_M(\alpha) &= \dim_P E_M(\alpha) \\ &= \frac{1}{\log m} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \{-\alpha q + P_M(q)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.3) \quad = \frac{1}{\log m} \sup \{h(\mu) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\Sigma), M_*(\mu) = \alpha\}.$$

Moreover, $\dim_H E_M(\alpha)$ is a concave and continuous function of α on L_M .

We remark that under this setting, the pressure function $P_M(q)$ of q may be not differentiable. Under a stronger condition that M is Hölder continuous, the

formula (1.2) has been proved by Feng and Lau [16], and in that case $P_M(q)$ is a differentiable function of q over \mathbb{R} .

What we state in Theorem 1.1 is a kind of multifractal analysis. But it is a little different from the multifractal analysis of measures to which the term “multifractal” is often attached. Let us mention [1, 2, 7, 9, 8, 14, 20, 22, 23, 26, 30, 32, 34] (it is far from exhaustive). Another kind of multifractal analysis was employed in [25] (see more references herein) where functions rather than measures are studied.

Now we state some ideas in the proof of Theorem 1.1. First we consider a special case that the map $M(x)$ depends only upon finitely many coordinates of x . In this case, we prove that the corresponding product of matrices is associated with a measure ν on Σ satisfying the so-called **quasi-Bernoulli property**: there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \nu([I])\nu([J]) \leq \nu([IJ]) \leq C\nu([I])\nu([J]), \quad \forall I, J \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \Sigma_n.$$

By using some multifractal results on quasi-Bernoulli measures obtained by Brown, Michon and Peyriere [7] and Heurteaux [23], we can prove the desired results for matrix products. To consider the general case, we first prove a formal formula for $\dim_H E_M(\alpha)$. More precisely, for any $\alpha \in L_M$, $n \geq 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we define

$$f(\alpha; n, \epsilon) = \#F(\alpha; n, \epsilon)$$

with

$$F(\alpha; n, \epsilon) = \left\{ \omega \in \Sigma_n : \left| \frac{1}{n} \log \|M(x) \cdots M(\sigma^{n-1}x)\| - \alpha \right| < \epsilon \text{ for some } x \in [\omega] \right\}.$$

We prove (Proposition 3.2, Proposition 3.3)

$$(1.4) \quad \dim_H E_M(\alpha) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)}{\log m^n} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)}{\log m^n}.$$

Using the above formula, we can prove the general results by approximating M by a sequence of maps $\{M_k\}$ such that M_k depends only upon the first k coordinates.

We organize the materials in the paper as follows. In Section 2, we give some properties of the set L_M and the pressure function $P_M(q)$. In Section 3, we prove (1.4) by using a dimensional result for the homogeneous Moran sets. In Section 4, we consider the case that M depends upon finitely many coordinates. In Section 5, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 6, we give several remarks.

2. Lyapunov exponents and the pressure function

Let $M: \Sigma \rightarrow L^+(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ be a continuous map. In this section, we will consider the set L_M of possible Lyapunov exponents and some relations between L_M and the pressure function $P_M(q)$. We also give some elementary results about convex functions and invariant measures on Σ . For convenience, we write $\pi_n M(x)$ for the product $M(x)M(\sigma x) \cdots M(\sigma^{n-1}x)$ throughout this paper.

Let us start from a simple lemma.

LEMMA 2.1: *There exists a constant $C > 0$ (depending on M) such that for any $x \in \Sigma$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$C\|\pi_n M(x)\| \|\pi_m M(\sigma^n x)\| \leq \|\pi_{n+m} M(x)\| \leq \|\pi_n M(x)\| \|\pi_m M(\sigma^n x)\|.$$

Proof: The second inequality is obvious. We only need to prove the first one. Since M is continuous, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\min_{i,j} M_{i,j}(x)}{\max_{i,j} M_{i,j}(x)} \geq dC, \quad \forall x \in \Sigma,$$

which implies that $M(x) \geq CEM(x)$ (here and afterwards we write $A \geq B$ for two matrices A, B if $A_{i,j} \geq B_{i,j}$ for each index (i, j)), where $E = (E_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}$ is the matrix whose entries are all equal to 1. Let $\mathbf{1}$ be the d -dimensional column vector each coordinate of which is 1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_{n+m} M(x)\| &\geq \|(\pi_n M(x))CE(\pi_m M(\sigma^n x))\| \\ &= C\|(\pi_n M(x))\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1}(\pi_m M(\sigma^n x))\| \\ &= C\|\pi_n M(x)\| \cdot \|\pi_m M(\sigma^n x)\|. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2.2: *Set*

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha_M = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \inf_{x \in \Sigma} \log \|\pi_n M(x)\|,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \beta_M = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sup_{x \in \Sigma} \log \|\pi_n M(x)\|.$$

Then $L_M = [\alpha_M, \beta_M]$.

Proof: We first show that the limits in (2.1) and (2.2) exist. To see this, write

$$(2.3) \quad a_n = \inf_{x \in \Sigma} \log \|\pi_n M(x)\|, \quad b_n = \sup_{x \in \Sigma} \log \|\pi_n M(x)\|.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$a_{n+m} \geq \log C + a_n + a_m, \quad b_{n+m} \leq b_n + b_m, \quad \forall n, m \geq 1,$$

where C is the constant in Lemma 2.1. This declares that the sequences $\{\log C + a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ are superadditive and subadditive respectively, from which the existence of the limits follows.

By the definition of upper Lyapunov exponents, we have $L_M \subset [\alpha_M, \beta_M]$ immediately. Hence, to prove the proposition, it suffices to prove that for any $t \in [\alpha_M, \beta_M]$, there exists $y \in \Sigma$ such that $\lambda_M(y) = t$.

Now fix a real number $t \in [\alpha_M, \beta_M]$. Then there is a number $p \in [0, 1]$ such that $t = p\alpha_M + (1-p)\beta_M$. For convenience, we define a sequence of real numbers $\{r_n\}$ by $r_{2n} = \alpha_M$ and $r_{2n-1} = \beta_M$ for $n \geq 1$. By the continuity of M and the definitions of α_M and β_M , there exist a sequence of words $\{\omega_n\}$ ($\omega_n \in \Sigma_n$) and a sequence of positive numbers $\{\epsilon_n\}$ which tend to 0 such that

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \frac{1}{n} \log \|\pi_n M(x)\| - r_n \right| < \epsilon_n, \quad \forall x \in [\omega_n].$$

Now construct a sequence of positive integers $\{N_n\}$ by

$$N_n = \begin{cases} \lfloor pn + \log n \rfloor, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \lfloor (1-p)n + \log n \rfloor, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the integral part of x . It can be checked directly that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n = \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{nN_n}{\sum_{i=1}^n iN_i} = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (2i-1)N_{2i-1}}{\sum_{j=1}^{2n} jN_j} = p.$$

Now define

$$y = \underbrace{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_1}_{N_1} \underbrace{\omega_2 \cdots \omega_2}_{N_2} \cdots \underbrace{\omega_n \cdots \omega_n}_{N_n} \cdots$$

In the following we show that $\lambda(y) = t$. In fact, for each integer $k > N_1$, there is an integer $n > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n iN_i \leq k < \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} iN_i.$$

By Lemma 2.1 and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_k M(y)\| &\leq \|\pi_{N_1+\cdots+nN_n-1} M(y)\| \|\pi_{k-N_1-\cdots-nN_n} M(\sigma^{N_1+\cdots+nN_n} y)\| \\ &\leq \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n iN_i(r_i + \epsilon_i)\right) \cdot \exp((k - (N_1 + \cdots + nN_n))b_1), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{k} \log \|\pi_k M(y)\| \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n iN_i(r_i + \epsilon_i)}{k} + \frac{k - (N_1 + \cdots + nN_n)}{k} \cdot b_1,$$

where b_1 is defined by (2.3). Letting k tend to infinity we have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \|\pi_k M(y)\| \leq t.$$

Now by Lemma 2.1, we have also that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_k M(y)\| &\geq C \|\pi_{N_1 + \dots + nN_n - 1} M(y)\| \exp((k - (N_1 + \dots + nN_n))a_1) \\ &\geq C^{N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_{n+1}} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n iN_i(r_i - \epsilon_i)\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \exp((k - (N_1 + \dots + nN_n))a_1), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} \log \|\pi_k M(y)\| &\geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n iN_i(r_i - \epsilon_i)}{k} + \frac{N_1 + \dots + N_{n+1}}{k} \log C \\ &\quad + \frac{k - (N_1 + \dots + nN_n)}{k} \cdot a_1. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the limit we have

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \|\pi_k M(y)\| \geq t.$$

This finishes the proof. \blacksquare

The following proposition gives some relations between L_M and the pressure function $P_M(q)$.

PROPOSITION 2.3: $P_M(q)$ is a convex function of q on \mathbb{R} . Furthermore, let α_M and β_M be defined as in Proposition 2.2. Then we have

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{P_M(q)}{q} = \alpha_M, \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{P_M(q)}{q} = \beta_M.$$

Proof: The convexity of $P_M(q)$ follows by a standard argument.

Let the sequences $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$ be defined as in (2.3). Then for each $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{cases} \exp(b_n q) \leq \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_n} \sup_{x \in [\omega]} \|\pi_n M(x)\|^q \leq m^n \exp(b_n q), & \forall q \geq 0, \\ \exp(a_n q) \leq \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_n} \sup_{x \in [\omega]} \|\pi_n M(x)\|^q \leq m^n \exp(a_n q), & \forall q < 0, \end{cases}$$

which implies that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} q\beta_M \leq P_M(q) \leq \log m + q\beta_M, & \forall q \geq 0, \\ q\alpha_M \leq P_M(q) \leq \log m + q\alpha_M, & \forall q < 0. \end{cases}$$

By taking the limit we obtain the desired result. \blacksquare

PROPOSITION 2.4: Suppose that $N: \Sigma \rightarrow L^+(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is a continuous map, and there is a real number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(1 + \delta)^{-1}M(x) \leq N(x) \leq (1 + \delta)M(x), \quad \forall x \in \Sigma.$$

Let L_N denote the set of all possible upper Lyapunov exponents of N , and $P_N(q)$ denote the pressure function of N . Then

$$L_N \supset [\alpha_M + \log(1 + \delta), \beta_M - \log(1 + \delta)].$$

Moreover, we have

$$|P_N(q) - P_M(q)| \leq |q \log(1 + \delta)|.$$

Proof: It follows immediately from Proposition 2.2 and the definitions of L_N and $P_N(q)$. ■

PROPOSITION 2.5: Let f be a convex real-valued function on \mathbb{R} . Denote

$$(2.6) \quad a = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x}, \quad b = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{x}.$$

- (i) Suppose that $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of differentiable convex functions converging to f pointwisely. Then for any $c \in (a, b)$, there exist $N > 0$ and a uniformly bounded sequence of real numbers $\{x_n\}_{n \geq N}$ such that $f'_n(x_n) = c$.
- (ii) Assume $-\infty < a < b < \infty$. Then we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{z \uparrow b} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{-zx + f(x)\} \geq \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{-bx + f(x)\},$$

and

$$\overline{\lim}_{z \downarrow a} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{-zx + f(x)\} \geq \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{-ax + f(x)\}.$$

Proof: Since f is convex, $[f(x) - f(0)]/x$ is an increasing function of x . Thus the limits in (2.6) exist. Take $\epsilon > 0$ with $a + \epsilon < c < b - \epsilon$. Pick $t > 0$ large enough so that

$$\frac{f(t) - f(0)}{t} \geq c + \epsilon, \quad \frac{f(-t) - f(0)}{-t} \leq c - \epsilon.$$

Since the sequence $\{f_n\}$ converges to f pointwisely, there exists $N > 0$ such that for each $n \geq N$,

$$\frac{f_n(t) - f_n(0)}{t} \geq c + \epsilon/2, \quad \frac{f_n(-t) - f_n(0)}{-t} \leq c - \epsilon/2.$$

Note that each f_n is continuously differentiable since it is differentiable convex (see [36, Theorem 25.3]). By using the Mean Value Theorem and the Intermediate Value Theorem, we see that for each $n \geq N$, there exists $x_n \in (-t, t)$ such that $f'_n(x_n) = c$. This concludes statement (i).

To prove statement (ii), denote $f^*(z) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{-zx + f(x)\}$. It can be checked directly that f^* is a concave function on $[a, b]$, and thus it is lower semi-continuous on $[a, b]$ (see [36, Theorem 10.2]), which concludes statement (ii). ■

The following proposition is needed in the proof of (1.3).

PROPOSITION 2.6: *For any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_o(\Sigma)$, there is a sequence of ergodic measures $\{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{M}_o(\Sigma)$ such that*

$$\mu = w^* \text{-} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_k, \quad h(\mu) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h(\mu_k).$$

Proof: First we assume that μ is fully supported on Σ . For each integer $n \geq 2$, let μ_n be the unique equilibrium state (see [6]) of the potential $\phi_n: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\phi_n(x) = \log \mu([x_1 \cdots x_n]) - \log \mu([x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}]), \quad \forall x = (x_i).$$

One may check that μ_n has the following property: for any integer $\ell > 0$ and $i_1 \cdots i_\ell \in \Sigma_\ell$,

$$\mu_n([i_1 \cdots i_\ell]) = \begin{cases} \mu([i_1 \cdots i_\ell]), & \text{if } \ell \leq n, \\ \mu([i_1 \cdots i_n]) \prod_{j=2}^{\ell-n+1} \frac{\mu([i_j \cdots i_{j+n-1}])}{\mu([i_j \cdots i_{j+n-2}])}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This means that μ_n converges to μ in the weak-star topology. By the upper semi-continuity of the entropy of μ , we have

$$(2.7) \quad h(\mu) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\mu_n).$$

Furthermore, by using the Variational Principle for equilibrium states (see [37]), we obtain

$$\int \phi_n d\mu + h(\mu) \leq \int \phi_n d\mu_n + h(\mu_n),$$

which yields $h(\mu) \leq h(\mu_n)$. This together with (2.7) yields $h(\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\mu_n)$.

Now assume that μ is not fully supported. Denote by ν a fully supported invariant measure on Σ . Then we can approximate μ by a sequence of fully supported invariant measures $\{\frac{n-1}{n}\mu + \frac{1}{n}\nu\}$. We can see that these measures converge to μ in the weak-star topology, and their entropies converge to $h(\mu)$ (since $h(\frac{n-1}{n}\mu + \frac{1}{n}\nu) = \frac{n-1}{n}h(\mu) + \frac{1}{n}h(\nu)$). Combining this with the results in the last paragraph, we can obtain the desired result. ■

3. Homogeneous Moran sets and a formal formula of $\dim_H E_M(\alpha)$

In this section, we first recall the definition and some dimensional results of homogeneous Moran sets; then by using these results and some further constructions we give a formal formula of $\dim_H E_M(\alpha)$. The main results in this section are Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3; in their proof we adopt some ideas from the proof of [12, Theorem 4].

It is helpful to think of Σ as the interval $[0, 1]$ and cylinders as subintervals. Let $\{n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive integers and $\{c_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying $n_k \geq 2$, $0 < c_k < 1$, $n_1 c_1 \leq \delta$ and $n_k c_k \leq 1$ ($k \geq 2$), where δ is some positive number. Let

$$D = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} D_k$$

with $D_0 = \{\emptyset\}$ and $D_k = \{(i_1, \dots, i_k); 1 \leq i_j \leq n_j, 1 \leq j \leq k\}$. Suppose that J is an interval of length δ . A collection $\mathcal{F} = \{J_\sigma: \sigma \in D\}$ of subintervals of J is said to have a **homogeneous Moran structure** if it satisfies

- (1) $J_\emptyset = J$;
- (2) for any $k \geq 0$ and $\sigma \in D_k$, $J_{\sigma i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n_{k+1}$) are disjoint subintervals of J_σ such that

$$\frac{|J_{\sigma i}|}{|J_\sigma|} = c_{k+1}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n_{k+1},$$

where $|A|$ denotes the length of A .

If \mathcal{F} is such a collection, $E := \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \bigcup_{\sigma \in D_k} J_\sigma$ is called a **homogeneous Moran set** determined by \mathcal{F} . One may refer to [19, 18] for more information about homogeneous Moran sets. For the purpose of the present paper, we only need the following simplified version of a result contained in [19], whose simpler proof was given in [12, Proposition 3].

PROPOSITION 3.1: *For the homogeneous Moran set defined above, we have*

$$\dim_H E \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n_1 n_2 \cdots n_k}{-\log c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{k+1} n_{k+1}}.$$

For $x = (x_i) \in \Sigma$, denote $I_n(x) = \{y = (y_i) \in \Sigma: x_i = y_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. We call $I_n(x)$ the **n -cylinder** about x . Write $M(x) = (M_{i,j}(x))_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\delta_n(M) = \sup_{y \in \Sigma} \left\{ \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} \frac{M_{i,j}(x)}{M_{i,j}(y)}, x \in I_n(y) \right\}.$$

Since $M: \Sigma \rightarrow L^+(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuous, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n(M) = 1$.

For any $\alpha \in L_M$, $n \geq 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we define

$$F(\alpha; n, \epsilon) = \left\{ \omega \in \Sigma_n : \left| \frac{1}{n} \log \|\pi_n M(x)\| - \alpha \right| < \epsilon \text{ for some } x \in [\omega] \right\}$$

and $f(\alpha; n, \epsilon) = \#F(\alpha; n, \epsilon)$.

PROPOSITION 3.2: For $\alpha \in L_M$, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)}{\log m^n} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)}{\log m^n} (=:\Lambda_M(\alpha)).$$

The function $\Lambda_M: L_M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is concave and continuous.

Proof: We first show that $\log f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)$, as a sequence of n , has a kind of sub-additivity. More precisely, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an N such that

$$[f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)]^p \leq f(\alpha; np, 2\epsilon) \quad (\forall n \geq N, \forall p \geq 1).$$

In fact, suppose $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p\} \subset F(\alpha; n, \epsilon)$. Let $\omega = \omega_1 \cdots \omega_p$. Let $x_k \in [\omega_k]$ ($1 \leq k \leq p$) be a point such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \log \|M(x_k) \cdots M(\sigma^{n-1} x_k)\| - \alpha \right| < \epsilon.$$

Let x be a point in $[\omega]$. Note that for any $1 \leq j \leq p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi_n M(x_j)}{\delta_1(M) \cdots \delta_n(M)} &\leq \pi_n M(\sigma^{(j-1)n} x) \\ &\leq \delta_1(M) \cdots \delta_n(M) \pi_n M(x_j). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \log \|\pi_n M(\sigma^{(j-1)n} x)\| - \alpha \right| < \epsilon + \frac{1}{n} \log(\delta_1(M) \cdots \delta_n(M))$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq p$. It follows that

$$\left| \frac{1}{np} \log \|\pi_{pn} M(x)\| - \alpha \right| < \epsilon + \frac{1}{n} \log(\delta_1(M) \cdots \delta_n(M)) + \frac{\log C}{n},$$

where C is the constant in Lemma 2.1. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n(M) = 1$, there exists N such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \log(\delta_1(M) \cdots \delta_n(M)) + \frac{\log C}{n} < \epsilon \quad \text{for } n \geq N.$$

It follows that

$$\left| \frac{1}{np} \log \|\pi_{np} M(x)\| - \alpha \right| < 2\epsilon$$

for $n \geq N$ and for all $p \geq 1$. Then $[\omega]$, which contains x , is in $F(\alpha; np, 2\epsilon)$. Notice that different choices $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p\}$ give rise to different ω 's. Thus we get the desired subadditivity. By using this subadditivity, it is easy to get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)}{\log m^n} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f(\alpha; n, 2\epsilon)}{\log m^n}$$

from which the equality of the two limits follows.

It is evident that $0 \leq \Lambda_M(\alpha) \leq 1$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in L_M$. Let p, q be two positive integers. By subadditivity, for large n we have

$$[f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)]^p [f(\beta; n, \epsilon)]^q \leq f(\alpha; np, 2\epsilon) f(\beta; nq, 2\epsilon).$$

Let $u \in F(\alpha; np, 2\epsilon)$ and $v \in F(\beta; nq, 2\epsilon)$. Take a point $x \in [uv]$. As above, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\log \|\pi_{np+nq} M(x)\| - np\alpha - nq\beta| \\ & \leq 2\epsilon n(p+q) + \log(\delta_1(M) \cdots \delta_{np}(M)) + \log(\delta_1(M) \cdots \delta_{nq}(M)) + \log C. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if n is sufficiently large, $uv \in F(\frac{p\alpha+q\beta}{p+q}; n(p+q), 3\epsilon)$. Consequently, for large n we have

$$f(\alpha; np, 2\epsilon) f(\beta; nq, 2\epsilon) \leq f\left(\frac{p\alpha+q\beta}{p+q}; n(p+q), 3\epsilon\right).$$

By the equality of the two limits that we have already proved, we can get

$$\frac{p}{p+q} \Lambda_M(\alpha) + \frac{q}{p+q} \Lambda_M(\beta) \leq \Lambda_M\left(\frac{p}{p+q} \alpha + \frac{q}{p+q} \beta\right).$$

This gives the rational concavity of the (bounded) function Λ_M . However, the concavity of Λ_M on the interval L_M is a consequence of its rational concavity and its upper semi-continuity that we prove below.

Given $\alpha \in L_M$, for any $\eta > 0$, there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)}{\log m^n} < \Lambda_M(\alpha) + \eta.$$

As above, it can be proved that for $\beta \in L_M$ with $|\beta - \alpha| < \epsilon/3$ we have

$$F(\beta; n, \epsilon/3) \subset F(\alpha; n, \epsilon)$$

when n is sufficiently large. It follows that $f(\beta; n, \epsilon/3) \leq f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_M(\beta) & \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f(\beta; n, \epsilon/3)}{\log m^n} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)}{\log m^n} \\ & \leq \Lambda_M(\alpha) + \eta. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the upper semi-continuity of Λ_M at α .

The continuity of Λ_M on the interval L_M follows from its concavity and its upper semi-continuity. ■

PROPOSITION 3.3: For $\alpha \in L_M$, we have

$$\dim_H E_M(\alpha) = \dim_P E_M(\alpha) = \Lambda_M(\alpha).$$

Proof:

STEP 1: For $\alpha \in L_M$, we have $\dim_P E_M(\alpha) \leq \Lambda_M(\alpha)$.

Let

$$G(\alpha; k, \epsilon) = \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} \left\{ x \in \Sigma : \left| \frac{1}{n} \|\pi_n M(x)\| - \alpha \right| < \epsilon \right\}.$$

It is clear that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$E_M(\alpha) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G(\alpha; k, \epsilon).$$

Notice that if $n \geq k$, $G(\alpha; k, \epsilon)$ is covered by the union of all cylinders $[\omega]$ with $\omega \in F(\alpha; n, \epsilon)$ whose total number is $f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)$. Therefore we have the following estimate,

$$\overline{\dim}_B G(\alpha; k, \epsilon) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)}{\log m^n} \quad (\forall \epsilon > 0, \forall k \geq 1).$$

On the other hand, by using the σ -stability of the packing dimension, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_P E_M(\alpha) &\leq \dim_P \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G(\alpha; k, \epsilon) \right) \leq \sup_k \dim_P G(\alpha; k, \epsilon) \\ &\leq \sup_k \overline{\dim}_B G(\alpha; k, \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

This, together with the last proposition, leads to the desired result.

STEP 2: For $\alpha \in L_M$, we have $\dim_H E_M(\alpha) \geq \Lambda_M(\alpha)$.

Given $\delta > 0$, by the last proposition, there are $\ell_j \uparrow \infty$ and $\epsilon_j \downarrow 0$ such that

$$f(\alpha; \ell_j, \epsilon_j) > m^{\ell_j(\Lambda_M(\alpha) - \delta/2)}.$$

Write simply $F_{\ell_j} = F(\alpha; \ell_j, \epsilon_j)$ and $f_{\ell_j} = f(\alpha; \ell_j, \epsilon_j)$. Define a new sequence $\{\ell_j^*\}$ in the following manner:

$$\underbrace{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_1}_{N_1}; \underbrace{\ell_2, \dots, \ell_2}_{N_2}; \dots; \underbrace{\ell_j, \dots, \ell_j}_{N_j}; \dots$$

where N_j is defined recursively by

$$N_j = 2^{\ell_{j+1} + N_{j-1}} \quad (j \geq 2); \quad N_1 = 1.$$

Denote $n_j = f_{\ell_j^*}$ and $c_j = m^{-\ell_j^*}$. Define

$$\Theta^* = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} F_{\ell_j^*}.$$

Observe that Θ^* is a homogeneous Moran set in Σ . More precisely Θ^* is constructed as follows. At level 0, we have only the initial cylinder Σ . In step j , cut a cylinder of level $j-1$ into $m^{\ell_j^*}$ cylinders and pick up n_j ones. By Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_H \Theta^* &\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(n_1 \cdots n_k)}{-\log(c_1 \cdots c_k c_{k+1} n_{k+1})} \\ &\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(f_{\ell_1^*} \cdots f_{\ell_k^*})}{\log(2^{\ell_1^* + \cdots + \ell_k^* + \ell_{k+1}^*})} \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(f_{\ell_1^*} \cdots f_{\ell_k^*})}{\log(2^{\ell_1^* + \cdots + \ell_k^*})} \\ &\geq \Lambda_M(\alpha) - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

However, by a direct check, Θ^* is a set in $E_M(\alpha)$. Hence $\dim_H E_M(\alpha) \geq \Lambda_M(\alpha) - \delta$. And thus $\dim_H E_M(\alpha) \geq \Lambda_M(\alpha)$ since δ can be picked small arbitrarily. ■

4. The case that M depends upon finitely many coordinates

In this section, we always assume that M depends upon finitely many coordinates. That is, there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $M(x)$ depends upon the first k coordinates of x for all $x = (x_i) \in \Sigma$. For simplicity, we write $M(x) = M(x_1 \cdots x_k)$. We will prove the following proposition by using some multifractal results about quasi-Bernoulli measures.

PROPOSITION 4.1: *Suppose that the map $M: \Sigma \rightarrow L^+(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ depends only upon the first k coordinates. Then $P_M(q)$ is a differentiable function of q on \mathbb{R} . Moreover, if $\alpha = P'_M(t)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

- (i) $\dim_H E_M(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\log m} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \{-\alpha q + P_M(q)\} = \frac{1}{\log m} (-\alpha t + P_M(t)).$
- (ii) *There exists an ergodic measure μ_t on Σ such that*

$$M_*(\mu_t) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_H \mu_t = \frac{h(\mu_t)}{\log m} = \frac{1}{\log m} (-\alpha t + P_M(t)).$$

Before giving the proof of the above proposition, we recall some multifractal results about quasi-Bernoulli measures. Let ν be a Borel probability measure on Σ . We recall that ν is **quasi-Bernoulli** if there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{C} \nu([I])\nu([J]) \leq \nu([IJ]) \leq C\nu([I])\nu([J]), \quad \forall I, J \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \Sigma_n.$$

Let μ be a Borel probability measure on Σ . For any $q \in \mathbb{R}$, the L^q -spectrum of μ is defined by

$$\tau_\mu(q) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_I \mu([I])^q,$$

where the summation is taken over all $I \in \Sigma_n$ with $\mu([I]) > 0$.

Brown, Michon and Peyriere [7] and Heurteaux [23] have considered the multifractal properties of quasi-Bernoulli measures. They proved

PROPOSITION 4.2: *Suppose that ν is a quasi-Bernoulli measure. Then the L^q -spectrum $\tau_\nu(q)$ is differentiable for $q \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, if $\alpha = \tau'_\nu(t)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

(i)

$$\dim_H \left\{ x \in \Sigma: \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \nu(B_r(x))}{\log r} = \alpha \right\} = \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \{ \alpha q - \tau_\nu(q) \} \\ = \alpha t - \tau_\nu(t);$$

(ii) *there exists an ergodic measure μ_t on Σ such that*

$$\mu_t \left\{ x \in \Sigma: \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \nu(B_r(x))}{\log r} = \alpha \right\} = 1$$

$$\text{and } \dim_H \mu_t = \frac{h(\mu_t)}{\log m} = \alpha t - \tau_\nu(t).$$

We remark that statement (ii) is only implicit in [23].

The following lemma plays a crucial role in the proof of Proposition 4.1.

LEMMA 4.3: *There exist a Borel probability measure μ on Σ and two positive constants ρ, C such that for any $n \geq 1$ and $i_1 \cdots i_{n+k-1} \in \Sigma_{n+k-1}$,*

$$C^{-1} \rho^n \|M(i_1 \cdots i_k) M(i_2 \cdots i_{k+1}) \cdots M(i_n \cdots i_{n+k-1})\| \\ \leq \mu([i_1 \cdots i_{n+k-1}]) \\ \leq C \rho^n \|M(i_1 \cdots i_k) M(i_2 \cdots i_{k+1}) \cdots M(i_n \cdots i_{n+k-1})\|.$$

Proof: At first we declare that there exist positive numbers ρ_1, ρ_2 and d -dimensional column vectors $\mathbf{u}(i_1 \cdots i_k), \mathbf{v}(i_1 \cdots i_k)$ ($i_1 \cdots i_k \in \Sigma_n$) with positive

entries such that for any $i_1 \cdots i_k \in \Sigma_k$,

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbf{u}(i_1 \cdots i_k)^\tau = \frac{1}{\rho_1} \sum_i \mathbf{u}(ii_1 \cdots i_{k-1})^\tau M(ii_1 \cdots i_{k-1}),$$

$$(4.3) \quad \mathbf{v}(i_1 \cdots i_k) = \frac{1}{\rho_2} \sum_i M(i_2 \cdots i_k i) \mathbf{v}(i_2 \cdots i_k i).$$

To see it, without loss of generality we assume $m = 2$ and $k = 2$. We construct a new $4d \times 4d$ matrix H by

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} M(11) & \mathbf{0} & M(21) & \mathbf{0} \\ M(11) & \mathbf{0} & M(21) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & M(12) & \mathbf{0} & M(22) \\ \mathbf{0} & M(12) & \mathbf{0} & M(22) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $M(ij)$ ($ij \in \Sigma_2$) are positive matrices, H is primitive (one checks that H^2 is positive). Thus by the Perron-Frobenius theorem (see [24]), there exist a positive number ρ_1 and a $4d$ -dimensional positive column vector \mathbf{s} such that $\mathbf{s}^\tau = \frac{1}{\rho_1} \mathbf{s}^\tau H$. Write \mathbf{s}^τ as the form

$$\mathbf{s}^\tau = (\mathbf{u}(11)^\tau, \mathbf{u}(12)^\tau, \mathbf{u}(21)^\tau, \mathbf{u}(22)^\tau),$$

where $\mathbf{u}(ij)$ are d -dimensional column vectors. Then it is clear that the vectors $\mathbf{u}(ij)$ satisfy (4.2). The proof of (4.3) follows by a similar discussion.

Define two functions η_1 and η_2 on $\bigcup_{n \geq k} \Sigma_n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{n+k-1}) &= \rho_1^{-n} \mathbf{u}(i_1 \cdots i_k)^\tau M(i_1 \cdots i_k) M(i_2 \cdots i_{k+1}) \\ &\quad \cdots M(i_n \cdots i_{n+k-1}) \mathbf{v}(i_n \cdots i_{n+k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_2(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{n+k-1}) &= \rho_2^{-n} \mathbf{u}(i_1 \cdots i_k)^\tau M(i_1 \cdots i_k) M(i_2 \cdots i_{k+1}) \\ &\quad \cdots M(i_n \cdots i_{n+k-1}) \mathbf{v}(i_n \cdots i_{n+k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.2) and (4.3) we have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_i \eta_1(ii_1 i_2 \cdots i_{n+k-1}) = \eta_1(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{n+k-1}), \\ \sum_i \eta_2(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{n+k-1} i) = \eta_2(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{n+k-1}), \end{cases}$$

which implies that for each $n \geq k$,

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_n} \eta_1(\omega) = \sum_{\omega' \in \Sigma_k} \eta_1(\omega'), \quad \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_n} \eta_2(\omega) = \sum_{\omega' \in \Sigma_k} \eta_2(\omega').$$

We deduce from the above equalities that $\rho_1 = \rho_2$ since

$$(\rho_1/\rho_2)^n = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_n} \eta_1(\omega) / \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_n} \eta_2(\omega) = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_k} \eta_1(\omega) / \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_k} \eta_2(\omega).$$

And thus $\eta_1 = \eta_2$. Define η on $\bigcup_{n \geq k} \Sigma_n$ by

$$\eta(\omega) = \eta_1(\omega) / \sum_{\omega' \in \Sigma_k} \eta_1(\omega'), \quad \forall \omega \in \bigcup_{n \geq k} \Sigma_n.$$

By the Kolmogorov consistence theorem, there is a unique invariant Borel probability measure μ on Σ such that $\mu([\omega]) = \eta(\omega)$ for any $\omega \in \bigcup_{n \geq k} \Sigma_n$. This completes the proof. ■

Proof of Proposition 4.1: Let μ be the measure as in Lemma 4.3 and ρ the corresponding constant. By Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 2.1, μ is a quasi-Bernoulli measure. Moreover,

$$\tau_\mu(q) = \frac{q \log \rho - P_M(q)}{\log m} \quad (\forall q \in \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$E_M(\alpha) = \left\{ x \in \Sigma : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(B_r(x))}{\log r} = \frac{\log \rho - \alpha}{\log m} \right\} \quad (\forall \alpha \in L_M).$$

Using Proposition 4.2, we obtain the desired result. ■

5. The Proof of Theorem 1.1

We divide the proof into 4 small steps:

STEP 1: $\dim_P E_M(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\log m} (-\alpha q + P_M(q)) \quad (\alpha \in L_M, q \in \mathbb{R}).$

For any $\alpha \in L_M$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)$ be defined as in Section 3. Then

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_n} \sup_{x \in [\omega]} \|\pi_n M(x)\|^q \geq \begin{cases} f(\alpha; n, \epsilon) \exp(nq(\alpha - \epsilon)), & \text{if } q \geq 0 \\ f(\alpha; n, \epsilon) \exp(nq(\alpha + \epsilon)), & \text{if } q < 0 \end{cases}$$

which implies that for any $q \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$P_M(q) \geq q\alpha + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)}{n}.$$

Combining it with Propositions 3.2 and 3.3, we obtain

$$\dim_P E_M(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\log m} (-q\alpha + P_M(q)).$$

STEP 2: We prove the following inequality:

$$(5.1) \quad \dim_H E_M(\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{\log m} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \{-\alpha q + P_M(q)\} \quad (\alpha \in L_M).$$

At first we consider a trivial case: $\alpha_M = \beta_M$ (α_M and β_M are defined as in Proposition 2.2). In this case, we have $\lambda_M(x) = \alpha_M$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. By (2.5), we have

$$\dim_H E_M(\alpha_M) = \dim_H \Sigma = 1 \geq \frac{1}{\log m} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \{-\alpha_M q + P_M(q)\}.$$

From now on we assume that $\alpha_M \neq \beta_M$.

First we consider $\alpha \in (\alpha_M, \beta_M)$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a map $M_k: \Sigma \rightarrow L^+(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that M_k depends upon the first k coordinates of x and $M_k(x) = M(y)$ for some $y \in I_n(x)$. It is clear that M_k is continuous. Moreover, there is a sequence of real numbers $\{\delta_k\} \downarrow 0$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad (1 + \delta_k)^{-1} M(x) \leq M_k(x) \leq (1 + \delta_k) M(x), \quad \forall x \in \Sigma.$$

Pick $\epsilon > 0$ with $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\alpha - \alpha_M, \beta_M - \alpha\}$. For each $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$F_k(\alpha; n, \epsilon/2) = \left\{ \omega \in \Sigma_n : \left| \frac{1}{n} \log \|\pi_n M_k(x)\| - \alpha \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ for some } x \in [\omega] \right\}$$

and

$$f_k(\alpha; n, \epsilon/2) = \#F_k(\alpha; n, \epsilon/2).$$

Take a large integer k_0 such that $\log(1 + \delta_k) \leq \epsilon/2$ for any $k \geq k_0$. Then by (5.2) we have $F_k(\alpha; n, \epsilon/2) \subset F(\alpha; n, \epsilon)$ and hence

$$(5.3) \quad f_k(\alpha; n, \epsilon/2) \leq f(\alpha; n, \epsilon) \quad (k \geq k_0).$$

By (5.2) and Proposition 2.4, $P_{M_k}(q)$ converges to $P_M(q)$ uniformly on compact sets. And thus by Proposition 2.5, there exists $k_1 > k_0$ and a bounded sequence of real numbers $\{q_k\}_{k \geq k_1}$ such that $\alpha = P'_{M_k}(q_k)$. By Proposition 3.2, Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 4.1,

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f_k(\alpha; n, \epsilon/2)}{n} &\geq \log m \cdot \dim_H E_{M_k}(\alpha) \\ &= \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \{-\alpha q + P_{M_k}(q)\} \\ &= -\alpha q_k + P_{M_k}(q_k). \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence $\{q_k\}$ is bounded, there is a subsequence $\{q_{k_i}\}$ which converges to a finite point q_∞ . It follows from Proposition 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} |P_{M_{k_i}}(q_{k_i}) - P_M(q_\infty)| &\leq |P_{M_{k_i}}(q_{k_i}) - P_M(q_{k_i})| + |P_M(q_{k_i}) - P_M(q_\infty)| \\ &\leq |q_{k_i}| \cdot \log(1 + \delta_{k_i}) + |P_M(q_{k_i}) - P_M(q_\infty)|. \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of $P_M(q)$, we have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} P_{M_{k_i}}(q_{k_i}) = P_M(q_\infty)$. Thus by (5.3) and (5.4) we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f(\alpha; n, \epsilon)}{n} \geq -\alpha q_\infty + P_M(q_\infty) \geq \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \{-\alpha q + P_M(q)\}.$$

Since ϵ can be picked arbitrary small, by Proposition 3.2 and 3.3, we obtain (5.1) for $\alpha \in (\alpha_M, \beta_M)$.

Now we consider the case $\alpha = \alpha_M$ or $\alpha = \beta_M$. By Propositions 3.2 and 3.3, we have

$$\dim_H E_M(\alpha_M) = \lim_{z \downarrow \alpha_M} \dim_H E_M(z)$$

and

$$\dim_H E_M(\beta_M) = \lim_{z \uparrow \beta_M} \dim_H E_M(z).$$

Thus

$$\dim_H E_M(\alpha_M) \geq \frac{1}{\log m} \lim_{z \downarrow \alpha_M} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \{-zq + P_M(q)\}$$

and

$$\dim_H E_M(\beta_M) \geq \frac{1}{\log m} \lim_{z \uparrow \beta_M} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \{-zq + P_M(q)\}.$$

By Proposition 2.5, we have

$$\dim_H E_M(\alpha_M) \geq \frac{1}{\log m} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \{-\alpha_M q + P_M(q)\}$$

and

$$\dim_H E_M(\beta_M) \geq \frac{1}{\log m} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \{-\beta_M q + P_M(q)\},$$

which finishes the proof of (5.1).

STEP 3: $\dim E_M(\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{\log m} \max_{\mu} \{h(\mu): M_*(\mu) = \alpha\} \quad (\forall \alpha \in L_M)$.

To see it, if $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\Sigma)$ satisfies $M_*(\mu) = \alpha$, then by Proposition 2.6, there exists a sequence of ergodic measures μ_k on Σ converging to μ in the weak-star topology, satisfying $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h(\mu_k) = h(\mu)$. Let $\alpha_k = M_*(\mu_k)$. Then by (2.1), $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k = \alpha$. By Furstenberg and Kesten's Theorem [21], $\mu_k(E_M(\alpha_k)) = 1$. By the Shannon-McMillan-Breiman theorem (see [37]), $\dim_H \mu_k = h(\mu_k)/\log m$. Hence we have $\dim_H E_M(\alpha_k) \geq h(\mu_k)/\log m$. Thus, by Propositions 3.2 and 3.3,

$$\dim_H E_M(\alpha) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \dim_H E_M(\alpha_k) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(\mu_k)}{\log m} = \frac{h(\mu)}{\log m}.$$

STEP 4: $\dim E_M(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\log m} \max_{\mu} \{h(u): M_*(\mu) = \alpha\} \quad (\forall \alpha \in L_M).$

For the trivial case $\alpha_M = \beta_M$, take μ to be the Parry measure on Σ (i.e., $\mu([I]) = m^{-n}$ for each $I \in \Sigma_n$). Then one can check directly that $M_*(\mu) = \alpha_M$ and

$$\dim_H E_M(\alpha_M) \leq \dim_H \Sigma = 1 = \frac{h(\mu)}{\log m}.$$

In what follows we assume that $\alpha_M < \beta_M$. First we consider $\alpha \in (\alpha_M, \beta_M)$. We define the maps $M_k: \Sigma \rightarrow L^+(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the same as in Step 2. As we have mentioned, there exists $k_1 > k_0$ and a bounded sequence of real numbers $\{q_k\}_{k \geq k_1}$ such that $\alpha = P'_{M_k}(q_k)$. By Proposition 4.1, there exists a sequence of ergodic measures ν_k on Σ such that

$$(5.5) \quad (M_k)_*(\nu_k) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad h(\nu_k) = -\alpha q_k + P_{M_k}(q_k).$$

Since the sequence $\{q_k\}$ is bounded, there is a subsequence $\{q_{k_i}\}$ which converges to a finite point q_∞ ; in the mean time ν_{k_i} converges to an invariant measure ν in the weak-star topology. By (2.1) and (5.2), we see that $M_*(\nu) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} M_*(\nu_{k_i}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (M_{k_i})_*(\nu_{k_i}) = \alpha$. By the upper semi-continuity of the entropy of invariant measures on Σ and the result proved in Step 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\nu) &\geq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} h(\nu_{k_i}) \\ &= \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (-\alpha q_{k_i} + P_{M_{k_i}}(q_{k_i})) = -\alpha q_\infty + P_M(q_\infty) \\ &\geq \log m \cdot \dim_H E_M(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Now assume $\alpha = \alpha_M$ or β_M . Pick $\alpha_n \in (\alpha_M, \beta_M)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha.$$

Choose $\nu_n \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma(\Sigma)$ such that

$$M_*(\nu_n) = \alpha_n \quad \text{and} \quad h(\nu_n)/\log m \geq \dim_H E_M(\alpha_n).$$

Let ν be a cluster point of $\{\nu_n\}$ in the weak-star topology. Then by (5.2)

$$M_*(\nu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_*(\nu_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha.$$

By Propositions 3.2 and 3.3, and the upper semi-continuity of the entropy of invariant measures on Σ ,

$$\dim_H E_M(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \dim_H E_M(\alpha_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(\nu_n)}{\log m} \leq \frac{h(\nu)}{\log m},$$

which completes the proof. \blacksquare

6. Final remarks

In this section we give several remarks.

First Theorem 1.1 can be extended from the full shift space (Σ, σ) to a subshift space (Σ_A, σ) where A is a $m \times m$ 0-1 primitive matrix. To attain this, one needs to modify our proof slightly.

The reader may care about how to deal with the points x at which $\lambda_M(x)$ does not exist. Actually we can define $\bar{\lambda}_M(x)$ and $\underline{\lambda}_M(x)$ by taking limsup and liminf in (1.1), respectively. By Proposition 2.2, the ranges of $\bar{\lambda}_M(x)$ and $\underline{\lambda}_M(x)$ are both equal to L_M .

We remark that for any $\alpha \in L_M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_H \{x \in \Sigma: \bar{\lambda}_M(x) = \alpha\} &= \dim_H \{x \in \Sigma: \underline{\lambda}_M(x) = \alpha\} \\ &= \Lambda_M(\alpha) \\ &= \dim_H \{x \in \Sigma: \lambda_M(x) = \alpha\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that

$$\dim_H \{x \in \Sigma: \bar{\lambda}_M(x) = \alpha\} \geq \Lambda_M(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_H \{x \in \Sigma: \underline{\lambda}_M(x) = \alpha\} \geq \Lambda_M(\alpha).$$

Now we prove the “ \leq ”. Assume that $\Lambda_M(\alpha) < t$. By Proposition 3.2, there exist $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f(\alpha; n, \epsilon) < m^{n(t-\delta)}, \quad \forall n \geq N_0.$$

Note that for any $\ell > N_0$, $\{x \in \Sigma: \bar{\lambda}_M(x) = \alpha\}$ and $\{x \in \Sigma: \underline{\lambda}_M(x) = \alpha\}$ are subsets of

$$\bigcap_{k=\ell}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq k} F(\alpha; n, \epsilon).$$

Therefore, for any $\ell > N_0$, the collection

$$\mathcal{G}_\ell = \{[\omega]: \omega \in F(\alpha; n, \epsilon) \text{ for some } n \geq \ell\}$$

is a cover of the sets $\{x \in \Sigma: \bar{\lambda}_M(x) = \alpha\}$ and $\{x \in \Sigma: \underline{\lambda}_M(x) = \alpha\}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{[\omega] \in \mathcal{G}_\ell} (\text{diam}[\omega])^t &= \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \sum_{[\omega] \in F(\alpha; n, \epsilon)} (\text{diam}[\omega])^t \\ &\leq \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} m^{n(t-\delta)} m^{-nt} < \frac{1}{1 - m^{-\delta}} \end{aligned}$$

for each $\ell > N_0$, we have

$$\dim_H \{x \in \Sigma: \bar{\lambda}_M(x) = \alpha\} \leq t \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_H \{x \in \Sigma: \underline{\lambda}_M(x) = \alpha\} \leq t.$$

This finishes the proof.

Using a method similar to that in [13] or [17], one can prove that if $\alpha_M < \beta_M$, then

$$\dim_H \{x \in \Sigma: \underline{\lambda}_M(x) < \overline{\lambda}_M(x)\} = \dim_H \Sigma.$$

For related results in the scalar function case see, e.g., [3, 13, 17, 31].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author thanks Prof. Ka-Sing Lau and Dr. Eric Olivier for some useful discussions.

References

- [1] L. Barreira, Y. Pesin and J. Schmeling, *On a general concept of multifractality: multifractal spectra for dimensions, entropies, and Lyapunov exponents. Multifractal rigidity*, Chaos **7** (1997), 27–38.
- [2] L. Barreira and B. Saussol, *Multifractal analysis of hyperbolic flows*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **214** (2000), 339–371.
- [3] L. Barreira and J. Schmeling, *Sets of “non-typical” points have full topological entropy and full Hausdorff dimension*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **116** (2000), 29–70.
- [4] A. S. Besicovitch, *On the sum of digits of real numbers represented in the dyadic system*, Mathematische Annalen **110** (1934), 321–330.
- [5] P. Billingsley, *Ergodic Theory and Information*, Wiley, New York, 1965.
- [6] R. Bowen, *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **470**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [7] G. Brown, G. Michon and J. Peyriere, *On the multifractal analysis of measures*, Journal of Statistical Physics **66** (1992), 775–790.
- [8] R. Cawley and R. D. Mauldin, *Multifractal decompositions of Moran fractals*, Advances in Mathematics **92** (1992), 196–236.
- [9] P. Collet, J. L. Lebowitz and A. Porzio, *The dimension spectrum of some dynamical systems*, Journal of Statistical Physics **47** (1987), 609–644.
- [10] H. G. Eggleston, *The fractional dimension of a set defined by decimal properties*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics. Oxford **20** (1949), 31–46.
- [11] K. J. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundation and Applications*, Wiley, New York, 1990.
- [12] A. H. Fan and D. J. Feng, *On the distribution of long-term time average on the symbolic space*, Journal of Statistical Physics **99** (2000), 813–856. See also: *Analyse multifractale de la récurrence sur l’espace symbolique*, Comptes Rendus de l’Académie des Sciences, Paris, Série I **327** (1998), 629–632.

- [13] A. H. Fan, D. J. Feng and J. Wu, *Recurrence, dimension and entropy*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society (2) **64** (2001), 229–244.
- [14] A. H. Fan and K. S. Lau, *Iterated function systems and Ruelle transfer operator*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **231** (1999), 319–344.
- [15] D. J. Feng, *The variational principle for products of non-negative matrices*, submitted to Nonlinearity.
- [16] D. J. Feng and K. S. Lau, *The pressure function for products of non-negative matrices*, Mathematical Research Letters **9** (2002), 363–378.
- [17] D. J. Feng, K. S. Lau and J. Wu, *Ergodic Limits on the conformal repeller*, Advances in Mathematics **169** (2002), 58–91.
- [18] D. J. Feng, H. Rao and J. Wu, *The net measure properties of symmetric Cantor sets and their applications*, Progress in Natural Science **7** (1997), 172–178.
- [19] D. J. Feng, Z. Y. Wen and J. Wu, *Some dimensional results for homogeneous Moran sets*, Science in China, Series A **40** (1997), 475–482.
- [20] U. Frisch and G. Parisi, *Fully developed turbulence and intermittency in turbulence and predictability in geophysical fluid dynamics and climate dynamics*, in *International School of Physics “Enrico Fermi”*, course 88 (M. Ghil, ed.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [21] H. Furstenberg and H. Kesten, *Products of random matrices*, Annals of Mathematical Statistics **31** (1960), 457–469.
- [22] T. C. Hasley, M. H. Jensen, L. P. Kadanoff, I. Procaccia and B. J. Shraiman, *Fractal measures and their singularities: The characterization of strange sets*, Physical Review A **33** (1986), 1141–1151.
- [23] Y. Heurteaux, *Estimations de la dimension inferieure et de la dimension superieure des mesures*, Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré **34** (1998), 309–338.
- [24] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [25] S. Jaffard and Y. Meyer, *Pointwise behavior of functions*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 123, 1996.
- [26] K. S. Lau and S. M. Ngai, *Multifractal measures and a weak separation condition*, Advances in Mathematics **141** (1999), 45–96.
- [27] F. Ledrappier and A. Porzio, *On the multifractal analysis of Bernoulli convolutions. I. Large deviations results. II. Dimensions*, Journal of Statistical Physics **82** (1996), 367–420.
- [28] P. Mattila, *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces. Fractals and Rectifiability*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [29] E. Olivier, *Multifractal analysis in symbolic dynamics and distribution of pointwise dimension for g -measures*, Nonlinearity **12** (1999), 1571–1585.

- [30] L. Olsen, *A multifractal formalism*, *Advances in Mathematics* **116** (1995), 82–196.
- [31] L. Olsen and S. Winter, *Normal and non-normal points of self-similar sets and divergence points of self-similar measures*, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* (2) **67** (2003), 103–122.
- [32] Y. Pesin, *Dimension Theory in Dynamical Systems. Contemporary Views and Applications*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1997.
- [33] Y. Pesin and H. Weiss, *A multifractal analysis of Gibbs measures for conformal expanding maps and Markov Moran geometry constructions*, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **86** (1997), 233–275.
- [34] M. Pollicott and H. Weiss, *Multifractal analysis of Lyapunov exponent for continued fraction and Manneville-Pomeau transformations and applications to Diophantine approximation*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **207** (1999), 145–171.
- [35] A. Porzio, *On the regularity of the multifractal spectrum of Bernoulli convolutions*, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **91** (1998), 17–29.
- [36] R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [37] P. Walters, *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982.
- [38] H. Weiss, *The Lyapunov spectrum for conformal expanding maps and Axiom-A surface diffeomorphisms*, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **95** (1999), 615–632.
- [39] L. S. Young, *Dimension, entropy and Lyapunov exponents*, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* **2** (1982), 109–124.